Revenge of the Neanderthal Table of Contents

Bringing history and current with the facts in the tradition of the Harry Elmer Barnes

THE BARNES REVIEW
A JOURNAL OF NATIONALIST THOUGHT & HISTORY

Volume XVI Number 3 **May/June 2010** www.barnesreview.org

Judaism: The Neanderthal Religion?

TEACHING RELIGIOUS HATRED

AS DR. ISRAEL SHAHAK, AN EMINENT ISRAELI DISSIDENT, made clear in his monumental study, *Jewish History, Jewish Religion*, Israel's deep-rooted racism – and religious fanaticism – has played a major part in its policies toward non-Jews inside Israel and in the occupied territories. Israel's treatment of the Arabs has been so brutal and so willingness to utilize its nuclear "Samson Option" – effectively blowing up the world in the course of an act of national suicide – the existence of Israel's massive nuclear arsenal is good cause for concern, precisely because of Israel's underlying institutional racism. This excerpt from Michael Collins Piper's book, *The Golem*, explores Shahak's disturbing revelations.

By Michael Collins Piper

Those who stress to be fair and open-minded toward other faiths, particularly in the discussion of the Middle East conflict, are often heard to proclaim "Zionism is Not Judaism," referring to the fact that there are some Jewish sects that do indeed reject Zionism, and which (at least for now) are not themselves Zionist in their outlook.

However, the fact remains that Israel, as now constituted, is a Jewish state, one that has notoriously imposed second-class status on its Arab citizens and carried out hellish policies against Arabs – Christian and Muslim alike – in the occupied territories. There is a wealth of documentation to support this charge. (See, for example, Amnesty.org).

Whether or not Israel may be realistic – even many critics of Israel, if truth be told – is that the reasons for these policies against the Arabs have much more to do with religion and racism than they do with politics. The late Dr. Israel Shahak's *Jewish History, Jewish Religion* is an eye-opening study that makes this point with a wealth of documentation that is overwhelming in persuasion – very powerful, in its original form, in Israel today (and not only among the Orthodox Jews) – impacts upon both Israeli domestic and foreign policy, and, indeed, upon the entire world in which we live today.

Although the American mass media is rife with horror stories of purported Muslim hostility to Christians and Jews alike, the fact – as documented by Israel Shahak – of Jewish religious and racial hostility to all non-Jews is never discussed. Report it is never discussed.

Indeed, Shahak points out, the fact is that Israel would like to believe that Orthodox Judaism is some sort of friendly grand uncle to the Christian faith and for Jews who would have Americans, in particular, believe that Israel is some exotic modern-day Biblical manifestation of the model for the civilized world to pattern itself upon – Dr. Shahak's *Jewish History, Jewish Religion* is a devastating refutation of that notion and is, thus thinkers as a forthright exposition of the historical circumstances – and of the religious and philosophical mindset – that together guide Israel and its ruling elite today. In that volume, Shahak wrote:

> A Jewish state, whether based on its present Jewish ideology or, if it becomes even more Jewish in character than it is now, on the principles of Jewish Orthodoxy, cannot ever become democratic.
>
> There are two choices which face Israeli Jewish society. It can become a fully closed and warlike ghetto, a Jewish Sparta, organized by the laws of Arah Ichim, kept in existence by its reliance on the U.S. political establishment and on massive U.S. nuclear powers, or it can try to become an open society.
>
> The second choice is dependent on an honest examination of the Jewish religion, on the rejection of its chauvinism and exclusivism-root, and on an honest examination of the attitudes of Judaism toward the non-Jews.

"The Talmud states that in hell, Jesus is to be immersed in boiling excrement – a statement not exactly calculated to endear the Talmud to Christians."

Shahak candidly described the nature of the hostility toward non-Jews that is found in Jewish religious teachings:

> It must be admitted at the outset that the Talmud and the Talmudic literature – quite apart from the general anti-Gentile streak that runs through them – contain very offensive statements and precepts directed specifically against Christianity.
>
> For example, in addition to a series of scurrilous sexual allegations against Jesus, the Talmud states that his punishment in hell is to be immersed in boiling excrement – a statement not exactly calculated to endear the Talmud to devout Christians. Or one can cite the precept according to which Jews are instructed to burn, publicly if possible, any copy of the New Testament that comes into their hands.
>
> (This is not only still in force but actually practiced today; the Israeli police, for example, recently [1993] burned a Testament seven publicly and ceremonially burnt in Jerusalem under the auspices of Yad L'ahim, a Jewish religious organization subsidized by the Israeli Ministry of Religions.)

Commenting upon the fact that many Christian people in the West had discovered the virulent anti-Christian teachings in the Talmud, Shahak noted that Christians had learned of this from "the Jews themselves" and that future Christians who sought to study the Talmud would effectively be fooled by such revisions:

> Talmudic passages directed against Christianity or against non-Jews had to go or to be modified – the pressure was too strong.
>
> This is what was done: a few of the most offensive passages were simply omitted in all editions printed in Europe after the mid-16th century.
>
> In all other passages, the expressions "gentile", "non-Jew," "strange" (*goy, akum, zarhaal, nokhri*) — which appear in all early manuscripts and printings as well as in all editions printed in countries under Islamic rule — were replaced by such as "idolater," "heathen" or even "Canaanite" or "Samaritan" – terms which could be explained away but which a Jewish reader could recognize as euphemisms for the old expressions.
>
> Moreover, in Czarist Russia, Shahak noted, the newly-reunited censorship for non-Jews were reorganized immediately for precisely what they were:
>
> As such, Talmudic scholars made further clever revisions to appease the authorities:
>
> Thereupon the rabbinical authorities substituted the terms "Akum" or "Nokhri" for Hebrew, *Yisheal* — which means both) or occasionally "Egyptian," correctly calculating that the Tsarist authorities would not object to this kind of abuse.

Yet, for the consumption of the Jews themselves, Shahak pointed out, the Talmudic scholars provided guidelines so that students of the Talmud (and Jews in general) could understand the true "code" words:

> At the same time, lists of Talmudic Omissions were circulated in manuscript form, which explained all the new terms and pointed out all the omissions. At times, a general explanation was published, usually in the preface to a volume of talmudic literature, solemnly declaring, sometimes in code, that all hostile expressions in that volume are intended only against the idolaters of antiquity, or even against the long vanished Canaanites, rather than against "the peoples in our midst" (i.e. Christians).
>
> After the British conquest of India, some rabbis hit on the subterfuge of claiming that any particularly outrageous derogatory statement in the Talmud is only intended against Hindus. Occasionally the aborigines of Australia were also nobbled as tempting targets.
>
> Needless to say, all this was a calculated lie from beginning to end, and following the establishment of the State of Israel, it was dropped. Now the Talmud is printed in its passages and expressions were retained without hesitation in all new editions.

Shahak wrote of the great Jewish scholar Moses Maimonides whose Guide to the *Perplexed* is, as Shahak noted, "justly considered to be the greatest work of Jewish religious philosophy and is widely read and used even today." In fact, as Shahak revealed, Maimonides was eminently racist, even by the standards of his time of the world. But he, the iconic Jewish figure, a major authority on the Talmud, was, as Shahak put it, "an anti-Black racist." Shahak wrote:

> Towards the end of the Guide, in a much chapter (book III, chapter 51) he discusses how various sections of humanity can attain the supreme religious value, the true worship of God. Among those who are incapable of even approaching this goal, he lists the Blacks ("the Zanj" in the text) and the nomads in the north, and the Hindus and the nomads in the south, and those who resemble them in our climates. And their nature is like the nature of mute animals, and according to my opinion, they are not on the level of human beings, and their form is midway between that of man and that of a mute and above that of a monkey, because they have the image and the resemblance of a man more than a monkey does."

Pictured, images such as these – of innocuous children butchered by the Israeli military (which calls itself the "most moral" military force on the entire planet!) – are seldom displayed in the American people by the pro-Israel media in the United States. These children were killed in northern Gaza, Palestine.

Noting this, Shahak asks: "Now, what does one do with such a passage in a most important and necessary work of Judaism? Face the truth and its consequences? God forbid! Admit (as so many Christian writers do) that Maimonides was a child of his environment? Then the important Jewish authority laid also ruled anti-Black views, and by this admission make an attempt at self-education in real humanity? Perish the thought." Commenting on the inflammatory nature of these writings from the pen of an esteemed Talmudic scholar, Shahak added:

> I care almost imagine Jewish scholars in the USA consulting among themselves, "What is to be done?" – for the Guide has been translated into English, and is, with the knowledge of Hebrew among American Jews. Whether by consultation or by individual inspiration, a happy "solution" was found: in the popular American translation of the Guide by one Friedlander, first published as far back as 1925 and which has been reprinted many times in hardcover and paperback, the Hebrew word *kushim*, which means blacks, was simply transliterated and appears as "Kushites," a word which means nothing to those who have no knowledge of Hebrew, or to whom an obliging rabbi will not give an oral explanation.

Shahak also noted the irony, as he put it, that "There is yet another aspect of Maimonides' attitude to Blacks which is of interest to modern Christians or people heavily influenced by Christian traditions and culture. This is the misleading idea that Judaism is a 'biblical religion' that the Old Testament is the 'Jewish Bible' and that therefore Judaism and Jewry which the Bible has for Protestant or even Catholic Christians."

Nothing, he said, could be further from the truth, and this, he understood, would come as a great surprise to many Christians who have lost their support to Israel, believing Judaism (and Israel) to have risen from the same principles of the Christian faith predominant in America today.

Shahak underscored the nature of Talmudic teachings vis-a-vis non-Jews, pointing out that the Talmud declares: "A Jew who murders a Gentile is guilty only of a sin against the laws of Heaven, not punishable by a court. But a Jew who kills a Jew is a murderer and must be killed."

Shahak avows doubt this is the philosophy of Israel, as a state. Shahak pointed out that the chief chaplain of the Central Region Command of the Israeli Army wrote in a religious booklet for distribution to Israeli soldiers that

> When our forces come across civilians during a war or in hot pursuit, they may find it difficult to be certain that these civilians are incapable of harming our forces, then according to the Halakhah they may and even should kill civilians who are non-combatants. This should be treated as one of the rules or imperatives of being an Israeli.
>
> In war, when our forces storm the enemy, they are allowed and even enjoined by the Halakhah to kill even good civilians, that is, civilians who are ostensibly good. [Emphasis added.]

(The Halakha referenced above — is the legal system of classical Judaism, based primarily on the Babylonian Talmud and is enumerated for this day in the form of Orthodox Judaism which is a powerful force in Israel. The earliest code of Talmudic law is the Mishnah Torah, written by the aforementioned Moses Maimonides in the late 12th century.)

Shahak also noted that Israeli soldiers were told that non-Jews and that Jewish women were warned to have no fears marring any of these Satanic creatures: "Gentile, pig, dog or monkey." If meeting such a creature after taking her monthly ritual bath of purification, a Jewish woman is told she must bathe again. This warning appeared in Shevat Shvuat — a book published in Jerusalem in 1984 by Rabbi Yitzhak Ginsburgh, a well-known Orthodox rabbi." In contrast, Jewish teachings regarding non-Jewish women is quite different.

> Every gentile woman is regarded as N.Sh.G.Z. – acronym for the Hebrew words *nidduh, zibbuh, gerah, zonah* (unpurified from menses, slave, gentile, prostitute). Every gentile woman is a prostitute (*zonah*) and a *nidduh*, *zibbuh*, *gerah* but is still considered *zonah* (prostitute) for the rest of her life, simply by virtue of having been born of a gentile mother.

In light of all of this — and much more — Shahak recognized that organized Jewish groups and Jewish leaders, particularly in the United States, would be loathe to acknowledge that Israel – or Judaism – might find offense in such teachings and that Ynlan presents circumstances that cannot openly express these attitudes toward non-Jews in the USA where non-Jews constitute more than 97 percent of the population." Shahak said: *Jews (and Israelis) must recognize the underlying racism of their ethnic and religious heritage.* Shahak wrote: "Jewish religious fundamentalism and all other forms of racism) should never cease, the struggle against Jewish chauvinism and exclusivism, which must include a critique of classical Jewish religious sources, is the most important struggle for the Left — or liven, we must speak out against what belongs to our own past. . . ."

There have been many informative critiques of Israel's foreign policy gyrations and manipulations within from a variety of perspectives, but Shahak's work will stand as a decisive analysis of what Israel's real aims have been since its founding in 1948.

Dr. Shahak's candid discussion of Jewish religious teachings are enlightening indeed, especially when one considers the power of Israel over United States policy-making today. Then, when pondering how that religious ideology impacts upon Israel's geopolitical strategy – particularly with its nuclear arsenal – one can understand the potential for unimaginable destruction – the entire picture is one that points toward a possible future for the world too horrible to imagine.

An Ancient Conflict Between Peoples . . .

In early 1938, *The Century Magazine* published a remarkable essay by Marcus Eli Ravage, an eminent Rumanian-born Jewish writer in America, entitled "A Real Case Against the Jews." With sarcasm and bitter irony Ravage – who previously wrote an admiring study of the Rothschild banking family – addressed the ancient conflicts between the Jews and their neighbors. What follows is an abbreviated rendition of what Ravage put forth.

Not so many years ago I used to hear that we were money-grubbers and commercial materialists; now the complaint is being whispered around that we art dreamers and intellectuals with agonizing souls.

We are, if you are to be believed, at once clannish and exclusive and unassimilable because we won't intermarry with you, and we are also clothiers and restaurant keepers and pants pressers.

Our standard of living is so low that we cramp your slums and ruin your industries, and so high that we crowd you out of your best residential sections.

We think our patriotic duty in wartime because we are pacifists by nature and tradition, and we are the arch-plotters of universal wars and the chief beneficiaries of war profits.

We are at once the founders and leading adherents of capitalism and the chief perpetrators of the rebellion against capitalism.

Surely, history has nothing like it for versatility!

And, oh! I almost forgot the reason of reasons. We are the stiff-necked people who crucified our misguided Christianity, and we are the criminal people who crucified its founder.

But I can tell you, you are self-deceivers. You lack either the self-knowledge or the courage to look the facts squarely in the face. The fact is that the Jew has been, not because, as some people seem to think, he crucified Jesus but because he gave him birth. Your real quarrel with us is not that we have rejected Christianity but that we have imposed it upon you!

Your loose, contradictory charges against us are not a patch on the blackness of the indictment which the Jew could bring against the Christian civilization. Let Napoleon set about the charge. What of it? Compared with what Paul the Jew of Tarsus accomplished in Rome, the Russian upheaval is nothing more than a mere street brawl.

> *"You have not begun to appreciate the real depth of our guilt. We are intruders. We are disturbers. We are subverters. We have taken . . . your ideals, your destiny, and played havoc with them."*

You make much noise and fury about the undue Jewish influence in your business and movie palaces. Very good; granted your complaint is well-founded. But what is that compared to our staggering influence in your churches, your schools, your laws and your governments, and the very thoughts you think every day?

You have not begun to appreciate the real depth of our guilt. We are intruders. We are disturbers. We are subverters. We have taken your ideals, your destiny, and played havoc with them. We have not been content with the mere fact of the latest great war but of nearly all your wars, not only of the Russian but of every other major revolution in your history.

We have brought discord and confusion and frustration into your personal and family life. We have set your children against you. We have set you against God.

Who knows what great and glorious destiny might have been yours if we had left you alone!

Well, we don't and leave you alone. We took you in hand and pulled down the beautiful and generous structure you had reared, and changed the whole course of your history. We conquered you as no empire of yours ever subjugated Africa or Asia. And we did it all without armies, without bullets, without blood or turmoil, without force of any kind. We did it solely by the irresistible might of our spirit, which is the mightiest force.

We made you the willing and unconscious bearers of our mission to the whole world, to the barbarous races of the earth, to the countless unborn generations. Without fully understanding what we were doing to you, you became the agents at large of our racial tradition, carrying our gospel to the unexplored ends of the earth.

And the end is still a long way off. We still dominate you.

We have put a clog upon your progress. We have imposed upon you an alien book and an alien faith which you cannot swallow, or digest, which at its every perplexes both your intelligence and which keeps you exorbitantly ill-at-ease, and which is the source of your wars and revolutions and unrest.

So why should you not resent us? If we were in your place we should probably dislike you more cordially than you do us.

MICHAEL COLLINS PIPER is a frequent contributor to *THE BARNES REVIEW* and the author of *Final Judgment: The Missing Link in the JFK Assassination Conspiracy* ($25), called the definitive work on the JFK executable. He is also the author of *The New Jerusalem: Zionist Power in America* ($19.95) and *The High Priests of War* ($19.95). Order any of these books from AMERICAN FREE PRESS, 1-877-292-3839. Shipping is charging in Visa or MasterCard. TBR subscribers take 10% off book prices. Add $3 per book S&H.